

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAM	ED INVENTOR	·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/787,271	01/24/97	ÇISAR		Α	LYNN/0020	
			, ¬	EXAMINER		
JEFFREY L. STREETS			•	MAPLES, J		
PATTERSON & STREETS, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
3040 POST 0 SUITE 1500	AK BLVD	• •		1745	24	
HOUSTON TX	77056			DATE MAILE): 01/19/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	08/787,271		GA.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Dow S. MA	_	Group Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet	beneath the co	orrespondence address	
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE <u>DIM</u>	CEMONT	H(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defending to respond within the set or extended period for response will. 	s, a response within the statu efault, expire SIX (6) MONTI-	itory minimum of the state of t	hirty (30) days will be considered time address of this communication.	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on/0/25/	99		•	
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
© Claim(s) 1, 2, 5-25, 27-44, 45-63 Of the above claim(s) 27-29	ie/are p	ie/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s) 27- 19	ie/are \	ie/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s) $\frac{1,25-25}{30-44}$ $\frac{30-44}{45-63}$			is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election			
		require	ement.	
Application Papers				
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.		·	
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_	☐ disapprove	d.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	is approved		d.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved		d.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection 	is approved		d.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved		d.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a the priority documents)	.)-(d). have been	d.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a fithe priority documents for))-(d). have been		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a fithe priority documents for)	.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a fithe priority documents for)	.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: □ *Certified copies *Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies *Certified Copies *Ce	is approved cted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a fithe priority documents foer)	.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign prio	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a fithe priority documents foer) ternational Bureau (PCT)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	

Application/Control Number: 08/787,271

Art Unit: 1745

1. Claims 1, 2, 5-25, and 30-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (New Rejection)

In each of these claims, applicant has claimed a bipolar plate. However, the said plate is located between fuel cells and is thus a separator plate. To render each of the claims definite, applicant should claim the bipolar plate a --bipolar separator plate-- in each instance because this is how the same functions.

There is no antecedent basis for "open pores" found in line 2 of claim 39. Claims 42-43, dependent on claim 39, fall therewith.

In each of claims 50-52, proper Markush language should be inserted to render these claims definite, i.e., --selected from the group consisting of-- should be inserted before each list of materials.

2. Claims 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. (New Rejection)

There is no support for either the gas imperameable material or for the metal sheet being formed of either nickel or gold as these claims recite.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/787,271

Art Unit: 1745

No rebuttal of applicant's arguments is deemed necessary because of the above 3. new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 4. examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is (703) 308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maria Nuzzolillo, can be reached on (703) 305-3776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSM/January 18, 2000